EXHIBIT 5

From: Bret Stanley
To: Angela Angotti

Subject: RE: Smith - Motion to Extend Docket Control Order

Date: Tuesday, November 25, 2025 4:11:00 PM

Thanks.

From: Angela Angotti < Angela. Angotti @bowmanandbrooke.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2025 3:48 PM

To: Bret Stanley <BStanley@johnsonlawgroup.com>

Subject: RE: Smith - Motion to Extend Docket Control Order

[EXTERNAL]

Bret,

The bates number is not confidential. You don't need permission to share a non-confidential bates number, but yes at the request of the client please provide the bates number asap.

No need for a "24 hour cool off"

Angela L. Angotti

Partner

+1 512-874-3836 | Angela.Angotti@bowmanandbrooke.com

From: Bret Stanley < BStanley@johnsonlawgroup.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2025 2:37 PM

To: Angela Angotti < <u>Angela.Angotti@bowmanandbrooke.com</u>> **Subject:** RE: Smith - Motion to Extend Docket Control Order

Angela,

Due to Uber's multiple filings across various jurisdictions that are directly against me relating to providing any information on the face of any MDL 3084 Document, can you please provide me express permission, based on your client's consent, that I can share the bates number from MDL 3084?

I will give this 24 hours to cool off and to allow your client to object. If I hear nothing from you by tomorrow afternoon, I will comply with your request below.

Thanks,

Bret

Review

Bret Stanley | Senior Counsel



Johnson Law Group | 2925 Richmond Ave., Suite 1700 | Houston, Texas 77098 Toll Free (800) 230-7700 | Phone (713) 626-9336 | Fax (713) 583-9460 <u>BStanley@johnsonlawgroup.com</u> | www.johnsonlawgroup.com

The information contained in this email is confidential and/or privileged. This email is intended to be reviewed initially by the recipient or a representative of the recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination or copying of this email or the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender by telephone and return this email to the sender at the above address. Thank you.

From: Angela Angotti < Angela. Angotti @bowmanandbrooke.com >

Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2025 10:36 AM To: Bret Stanley < BStanley@johnsonlawgroup.com> Subject: RE: Smith - Motion to Extend Docket Control Order

[EXTERNAL]

Trying to get this figured out as quickly as I can for us. I can't view the document because I'm not part of the MDL would you please reply with just bates number of what you are talking about so I can forward the bates number to the client immediately?

Angela L. Angotti

Partner

+1 512-874-3836 | Angela.Angotti@bowmanandbrooke.com

From: Bret Stanley < BStanley@johnsonlawgroup.com>

Sent: Monday, November 24, 2025 5:34 PM

To: Angela Angotti < Angela. Angotti @bowmanandbrooke.com > Subject: Re: Smith - Motion to Extend Docket Control Order

Has SHB responded to your email about



information that was ordered?

Thanks.

Get Outlook for iOS

Review

Bret Stanley | Senior Counsel



Johnson Law Group | 2925 Richmond Ave., Suite 1700 | Houston, Texas 77098 Toll Free (800) 230-7700 | Phone (713) 626-9336 | Fax (713) 583-9460 BStanley@johnsonlawgroup.com | www.johnsonlawgroup.com

The information contained in this email is confidential and/or privileged. This email is intended to be reviewed initially by the recipient or a representative of the recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination or copying of this email or the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender by telephone and return this email to the sender at the above address. Thank you.

From: Bret Stanley < <u>BStanley@johnsonlawgroup.com</u>>

Sent: Tuesday, November 18, 2025 4:55 PM

To: Angela Angotti < Angela. Angotti @bowmanandbrooke.com > Subject: RE: Smith - Motion to Extend Docket Control Order

Angela,

Thanks for emailing.

Do you have permission from your client for me to share the bates label with this document that is from MDL 3084? If no, Clayton Callen, Colton Parks, Kirk Carter, Luke Spencer, Paul Alcaron, or Samuel Schleier could search MDL Database using Aldana's UUID and it will populate. (Assuming Bowman & Brooke has access to the document production.) These guys are listed as Uber's Counsel in the MDL.

I have not shared or used this document with anyone in this matter, but Judge Gonzales has ordered Uber to

produce documents that indicate triggers in connection with RFP 70.

I don't know that you *knowingly* made the false statement and don't believe this is something you would do based on getting to know you. But a false statement to the court was made.

Given with how Uber has directly attacked me in multiple jurisdictions, I need to know that I have permission to share the bates label with you. I don't want to get in a position that Uber comes after me again for this. However, I'm in a position where I will need to move forward soon if we can't work it out.

From: Angela Angotti < Angela. Angotti @bowmanandbrooke.com >

Sent: Tuesday, November 18, 2025 4:13 PM

To: Bret Stanley Subject: RE: Smith - Motion to Extend Docket Control Order

[EXTERNAL]

Bret,

- 1. Please provide the basis for your claim in the email below that "On the record you made a substantial misrepresentation that Uber has no flags for Aldana" I take any allegations that I have misrepresented anything to the Court very seriously, and after spending several days attempting to determine what information I have that could have possibly led you to make that allegation in good faith, I was unable to find anything. You are accusing me of knowingly making a false statement of fact to the Court, and that is a very serious allegation. Please let me know your basis for that claim, immediately, in writing.
- 2. Please identify the Aldana UUID documents mentioned in your November 13 email below by bates number that you allege demonstrate that that the statements I made are "absolutely untrue based on documents related to Aldana's UUID" as I am unable to locate any documents supporting your very serious accusations, that you put in writing to all parties in this case, that I knowingly made a false statement to the Court.

Thank you,

Angela L. Angotti

Partner

+1 512-874-3836 | Angela.Angotti@bowmanandbrooke.com

From: Bret Stanley < BStanley@johnsonlawgroup.com >

Sent: Thursday, November 13, 2025 8:53 AM

To: Angela Angotti Angela Angotti@bowmanandbrooke.com; Jamie Giron Jamie.Giron@bowmanandbrooke.com; Estefy Mitre Estefy Mitre Sterra Flores Sterra Flores Sterra Flores Sterra Flores sfloresmholes.com>; Randy Jeffries nagericamoslaw.com>; Randy Jeffries <a href="mailto:sfloresmhole

Cc: Erica Suarez <erica@liglaw.com>; Abagail Carrier <acarrier@dorsettjohnson.com>; Larry J. Goldman <larry@liglaw.com>; David Plaut <dplaut@hannaplaut.com>; Lisa Chapa lisac@liglaw.com>; Erika Coignet <erika@liglaw.com>; Natalie Scott <nscott@hannaplaut.com>; Christina Vansickle <christina@liglaw.com>; Jack Shea <lack.Shea@bowmanandbrooke.com>; Sara Margo <Sara.Margo@bowmanandbrooke.com>; Marissa Mooney <marissa.Mooney@bowmanandbrooke.com>; Camille Cordova <cmille.Cordova@bowmanandbrooke.com>; Lydia Tsao <lack.Shea@bowmanandbrooke.com>; Justina Knight <iustina.knight@bowmanandbrooke.com>

Subject: RE: Smith - Motion to Extend Docket Control Order

Page 4 of 7

Angela -

Uber has not identified *any* individuals with relevant knowledge of the claims made by the Plaintiff, has not produced a single internal policy, and has sat on discovery for months without response or production. The Court was exasperated in the last hearing and clearly was sending tones that she does not believe we have a chance to try this case without an extension to discovery.

I have not received the records that Uber was Ordered to produce in connection with the 11/5/25 Hearing, attached are the Judge's Notes ordering production on RFPS 12, 23, 24, 32, 51, 65, 67, 70.

Importantly, RFP 70 was granted and Uber is to produce "flags related to Aldana. On the record you made a substantial misrepresentation that Uber has no version for Aldana. This is absolutely untrue based on documents related to Aldana's UUID. The Order granting RFP 70 allows me to trigger procedures in the MDL Protective Order to seek this production.

Sincerely,

Bret Stanley



Bret Stanley | Senior Counsel

Johnson Law Group | 2925 Richmond Ave., Suite 1700 | Houston, Texas 77098 Toll Free (800) 230-7700 | Phone (713) 626-9336 | Fax (713) 583-9460 BStanley@johnsonlawgroup.com | www.johnsonlawgroup.com

The information contained in this email is confidential and/or privileged. This email is intended to be reviewed initially by the recipient or a representative of the recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination or copying of this email or the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender by telephone and return this email to the sender at the above address. Thank you.

From: Angela Angotti < Angela. Angotti @bowmanandbrooke.com>

Sent: Wednesday, November 12, 2025 5:24 PM

To: Bret Stanley & Stanley & Jamie Giron & Jamie & Jamie Giron & Jamie Giron & Jamie Giron & Jamie Giron & Jamie &

Cc: Erica Suarez <erica@ljglaw.com>; Abagail Carrier <acarrier@dorsettjohnson.com>; Larry J. Goldman <arry@ljglaw.com>; David Plaut <dplaut@hannaplaut.com>; Lisa Chapa <arry@ljglaw.com>; Erika Coignet <erika@ljglaw.com>; Natalie Scott <arry@ljglaw.com>; Christina Vansickle <arry@ljglaw.com>; Jack Shea <arry@ljglaw.com>; Sara Margo <arry@ljglaw.com>; Marissa Mooney <arry@ljglaw.com>; Camille Cordova <arry@ljglaw.com>; Lydia Tsao <arry@ljglaw.com>; Justina Knight <arry@ljglaw.com>; Justina Knight <arry@ljglaw.com>; Lydia Tsao Lydia Tsao Lydi

Subject: RE: Smith - Motion to Extend Docket Control Order

[EXTERNAL]

Bret,

Could you please let us know what additional discovery Plaintiff needs that requires a modification of the DCO? We would likely be opposed to a blanket extension for any potential requested discovery, but if there is some specific and discrete discovery that Plaintiff and Defendants were unable to complete for good cause prior to the discovery deadline, I think we can likely come to an agreement on specific discovery items to be completed post discovery

deadline.

Speaking of which, despite repeatedly asking for several weeks now, Defendants have not received a response from Plaintiff re the IME and raw data. We filed a motion on this issue, do we need to set it for hearing or can you provide the information by end of day tomorrow?

Angela L. Angotti

Partner

+1 512-874-3836 | Angela.Angotti@bowmanandbrooke.com

From: Bret Stanley < <u>BStanley@johnsonlawgroup.com</u>>

Sent: Wednesday, November 12, 2025 4:31 PM

To: Jamie Giron "Jamie.Giron@bowmanandbrooke.com">"Jamie.Giron@bowmanandbrooke.com">"Jorge (astefy.mitre@bowmanandbrooke.com">"Jorge (astefy.mitre@bowmanandbrooke.com")">"Jorge (astefy.mitre@bowmanan

Cc: Erica Suarez <erica@liglaw.com>; Abagail Carrier <acarrier@dorsettjohnson.com>; Larry J. Goldman <arry@liglaw.com>; David Plaut dplaut@hannaplaut.com>; Lisa Chapa lisac@liglaw.com>; Erika Coignet <erika@liglaw.com>; Natalie Scott nscott@hannaplaut.com; Christina Vansickle christina@liglaw.com; Jack Shea doswmanandbrooke.com; Sara Margo Sara.Margo@bowmanandbrooke.com; Camille Cordova@bowmanandbrooke.com>; Lydia Tsao Lydia.Tsao@bowmanandbrooke.com; Justina Knight qiustina.knight@bowmanandbrooke.com;

Subject: Smith - Motion to Extend Docket Control Order

Counsel -

Can each lead attorney respond to this email and indicate whether they would oppose a Motion to Extend the Discovery in the current DCO?

I believe we can keep the current trial date if we extend the discovery period to 30 days prior to the trial date. It's not uncommon for discovery to run up to 30 days prior to trial and all parties definitely need the time.

If you would oppose this motion, please let me know.

Thanks.

Bret Stnaley



Bret Stanley | Senior Counsel

Johnson Law Group | 2925 Richmond Ave., Suite 1700 | Houston, Texas 77098 Toll Free (800) 230-7700 | Phone (713) 626-9336 | Fax (713) 583-9460 BStanley@johnsonlawgroup.com | www.johnsonlawgroup.com

The information contained in this email is confidential and/or privileged. This email is intended to be reviewed initially by the recipient or a representative of the recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination or copying of this email or the information contained herein is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender by telephone and return this email to the sender at the above address. Thank you.

Note: This electronic mail is intended to be received and read only by certain individuals. It may contain information that is attorney-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If it has been misdirected, or if you suspect you have received this in error, please notify me by replying and then delete both the message and reply. Thank you. Note: This electronic mail is intended to be received and read only by certain individuals. It may contain information that is attorney-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If it has been misdirected, or if you suspect

you have received this in error, please notify me by replying and then delete both the message and reply. Thank you. Note: This electronic mail is intended to be received and read only by certain individuals. It may contain information that is attorney-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If it has been misdirected, or if you suspect you have received this in error, please notify me by replying and then delete both the message and reply. Thank you. Note: This electronic mail is intended to be received and read only by certain individuals. It may contain information that is attorney-client privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If it has been misdirected, or if you suspect you have received this in error, please notify me by replying and then delete both the message and reply. Thank you.